



Original Article

Low back pain in hemodialysis patients: Risk factors and its impact on health-related quality of life

Bilge Kesikburun,¹ Emel Ekşioğlu,¹ İbrahim Akdağ,² Aytül Çakçı¹

¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

²Department of Nephrology, Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Received: November 01, 2016 Accepted: April 14, 2017 Published online: November 15, 2017

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate frequency and characteristics of low back pain and to identify possible risk factors of low back pain and its impact on health-related quality of life in hemodialysis patients.

Patients and methods: A total of 87 hemodialysis patients (41 males, 46 females; mean age: 53.3±15.8 years; range, 21 to 80 years) were included in the study between January 2015 and July 2015. Medical charts and face-to-face interviews were used to collect clinical and demographic data. A comprehensive clinical evaluation of low back pain was implemented. The patients were divided into two groups: those with (n=32) and without (n=55) low back pain. Demographic data, quality of life, pain, and disability were compared between the groups. Pain severity was assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). Low back pain-associated disability was measured using the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). Risk factors of low back pain were identified using multiple logistic regression analysis. The impact of low back pain on health-related quality of life was measured using the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP).

Results: Advanced age, increased body mass index, and smoking were found to be significant independent risk factors of low back pain (p=0.048; p=0.037; p=0.020, respectively). Energy, pain, and physical mobility subscale scores of the NHP were also higher in the hemodialysis patients with low back pain (p=0.008; p<0.001; p<0.001, respectively). Energy, pain, sleep, and physical mobility subscale scores of the NHP showed a significant positive correlation with the ODI scores (r=0.424, p=0.016; r=0.803, p<0.001; r=0.493, p=0.004; r=0.862, p<0.001, respectively). The etiology of low back pain was non-specific in the majority of the patients (71.9%). There were spondylodiscitis in two patients (6.2%), compression fractures in two patients (6.2%), spinal stenosis in one patient (3.1%), and discopathy in four patients (12.5%).

Conclusion: Low back pain is a common condition in hemodialysis patients. Advanced age, increased body mass index, and smoking are the main risk factors of low back pain. The presence of low back pain is also related to poor health-related quality of life in hemodialysis patients.

Keywords: Hemodialysis; low back pain; quality of life.

The pain is one of the most frequently reported conditions associated with poor health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in hemodialysis patients. The most common source of pain has been reported as musculoskeletal problems as well as neuropathic, visceral, underlying kidney disease, comorbidities, and dialysis therapy.^[1] Low back pain (LBP) is also one of the major causes of musculoskeletal pain in hemodialysis patients.^[2]

As LBP is a common health problem, many individuals experience LBP at some point their lives.^[3] It mostly originates from bones, intervertebral discs, joints, muscles, ligaments, neural structures, and

blood vessels.^[4] In the minority of cases, LBP is caused by a specific etiological factor, including infection, tumor, or osteoporotic fractures.^[4] In hemodialysis patients, LBP can be attributed to sedentary lifestyle, low physical performance, muscular weakness, psychological factors, altered metabolic activity of the bones and joints, and rare causes such as tumor, spinal infection, and osteoporotic fractures.^[5]

Low back pain may not be paid attention sufficiently due to the occurrence of many complications in hemodialysis patients. However, it is potential source of morbidity, disability, psychosocial problems, and poor HRQOL in this patient population. In the present study,

Corresponding author: Bilge Kesikburun, MD. Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Fiziksel Tıp ve Rehabilitasyon Kliniği, 06110 Dışkapı, Ankara, Turkey. e-mail: drbilgekb@gmail.com

Cite this article as:

Kesikburun B, Ekşioğlu E, Akdağ İ, Çakçı A. Low back pain in hemodialysis patients: Risk factors and its impact on health-related quality of life. Turk J Phys Med Rehab 2018;64(1):66-71.

we aimed to evaluate the frequency and characteristics of LBP and to identify possible risk factors of LBP and its impact on HRQOL in hemodialysis patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Hemodialysis Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Training and Research Hospital, between January 2015 and July 2015. The patients who had clinically stable end-stage renal disease, aged between 18 and 80 years, had ability to interview and complete the questionnaires in Turkish, and who underwent hemodialysis three times a week for over three months were eligible to be included in the study. Those who had cognitive impairment and uncontrolled systemic diseases were excluded from the study. As a result, a total of 87 patients were included in this study. All patients were divided into two groups: those with (n=32) and without (n=55) LBP. Demographic data, quality of life, pain severity, and disability were compared between the two groups.

A written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data collection and outcome measures

Baseline demographic and clinical laboratory data including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), education status, history of smoking, marital status, and duration of dialysis were collected from the medical charts and face-to-face interviews. Comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiac diseases, and cerebrovascular disease were questioned. Blood tests including level of hemoglobin, creatinine, albumin, calcium, phosphate, parathyroid hormone, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were performed.

The HRQOL was assessed using the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP). The NHP is a questionnaire designed to measure the social and personal effects of illness.^[6] It contains 38 items divided into six dimensions: energy, pain, emotional reaction, sleep, social isolation, and physical mobility. The scores of each component are weighted to give a score from 0 (no problems) to 100 (maximum problems). The respondent answers 'Yes', if the statement adequately reflects the current status or feeling, or 'No' otherwise. The Turkish adaptation of the NHP was previously performed.^[7]

The severity of depression was assessed using Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), which is a 21 item self-report scale. Items in the scale are rated from 0 to 3 in increasing order of severity. Item scores are totaled and can range from 0 to 63. Higher scores correlate with more severe depression. The pathologic cut-off value for the BDI score determined to be 17 in the Turkish population. The validity of reliability of the Turkish version of the scale have been established.^[8,9]

Evaluation of low back pain

The patients were asked whether they had LBP at the time of the interview. The Delphi definition was used to define LBP: "*Pain between the inferior margin of the 12th rib and inferior gluteal folds that is bad enough to limit usual activities or change the daily routine for more than 1 day. This pain can be with or without pain going down into the leg. This pain does not include pain from feverish illness or menstruation.*"^[10] Those who had LBP were asked about duration, severity of pain, and location including axial LBP and pain radiating from low back to the leg. Severity of pain was assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) ranging from 0 mm (no pain) to 10 mm (worst pain). The recommended cut-points are as follows: no pain (0), mild pain (0-4), moderate pain (5-7), and severe pain (8-10).^[11] Pain duration of more than three months was deemed as chronic. A comprehensive physical examination including palpation of the paravertebral muscles and spinous process, LBP movements, neurological examination of the lower extremities, and specific tests such as straight leg raise test and femoral nerve stretch test was performed. In addition to physical examination findings, imaging of the LBP including X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were assessed to determine a specific etiology for LBP. Red flags of LBP including age over 50 years, bladder dysfunction, history of cancer, immune suppression, nocturnal pain, history of trauma, saddle anesthesia, and neurological deficits in the lower extremities, were questioned.

Disability related to LBP was evaluated with a disease-specific functional status questionnaire, namely the Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Index (ODI). Each question is rated on a scale from 0 to 5 points, with a higher score indicating high disability. The ODI scores range from 0 to 50. The Turkish validity and reliability of ODI were previously carried out.^[12]

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM-SPSS for MAC version 20.0 software

(IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive data were expressed in mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and in percentage for categorical variables. The chi-square test was applied to compare the groups. The Student's t-test was used to compare the mean values of continuous variables between the patients with and without LBP. If the distribution of the continuous variables was abnormal, the Mann-Whitney test was used. Given the binary nature of the main outcome of interest (i.e., presence of LBP vs absence of LBP), univariate binary logistic regression analysis was used to yield odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to identify the variables included in the multivariate analysis. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the risk factors of LBP. Those variables with $p < 0.25$ in the univariate analysis and identified individually as significant risk factors of LBP were included in the multivariate model. Low back pain status (i.e., presence of LBP vs absence of LBP) was accepted as the dependent variable in the multivariate model. Variables which were likely to affect LBP status were accepted as independent variables. The Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to analyze correlation between the ODI and

NHP subscale scores. A two-tailed p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Power analysis was performed using the pain subscale of the NHP as primary outcome. The difference between the mean values of the groups was found to be 34.3. The intra-group standard deviation was found to be 31.4. The ratio of control to experimental patients was 1.71. Based on type I error of 0.05, the study power was calculated as 0.954, according to the primary outcome. The PS version 3.0 program (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was utilized. A two-tailed test was used for the analysis.

RESULTS

Of a total of 102 patients undergoing hemodialysis were screened; however, 15 of them who did not meet the eligibility criteria were excluded. Among these, five patients rejected to participate, three patients had mental retardation, two patients had impaired hearing, four patients had impaired cognition, and one patient was unable to interview and complete the questionnaires in Turkish. As a result, a total of 87 patients were included. Of these patients, 41 (47.1%)

Table 1. Characteristics of hemodialysis patients with and without low back pain

	Hemodialysis patients with low back pain (n=32)			Hemodialysis patients without low back pain (n=55)			OR	95% CI	p
	n	%	Mean \pm SD	n	%	Mean \pm SD			
Age (year)			58.0 \pm 14.5			50.7 \pm 16.0	1.03	1.00-1.06	0.041
Sex									0.631
Female	18	56.3		28	50.9		1.24	0.51-2.97	
Male	14	43.7		27	49.1				
Body mass index (kg/m ²)*			25.7 \pm 4.3			23.9 \pm 3.4	1.13	1.00-1.28	0.035
Marital status									0.273
Married	21	65.6		29	52.7		1.71	0.69-4.21	
Not married	11	34.4		26	47.3				
Education period (year)*			3.7 \pm 3.9			4.5 \pm 3.6	0.94	0.83-1.06	0.352
Duration of hemodialysis (year)*			6.4 \pm 6.2			7.4 \pm 6.4	0.97	0.90-1.04	0.462
Smoking	7	21.9		7	12.7		1.92	0.60-6.08	0.248
Comorbidities									
Arterial hypertension	21	65.6		30	54.5		1.59	0.64-3.92	0.313
Diabetes mellitus	9	28.1		14	25.4		1.14	0.43-3.05	0.785
Cardiac disease	7	21.8		10	18.1		1.26	0.42-3.72	0.676
Cerebrovascular disease	1	3.1		3	5.4		0.55	0.05-5.61	0.621
Beck depression inventory*			14.9 \pm 2.6			11.7 \pm 1.5	1.03	0.99-1.06	0.066
Laboratory tests*									
Hemoglobin (g/dL)			10.8 \pm 1.4			10.8 \pm 1.4	1.02	0.75-1.38	0.893
Creatinine (mg/dL)			7.6 \pm 2.0			7.3 \pm 2.1	0.66	0.69-1.06	0.374
Albumin (mg/dL)			3.6 \pm 0.6			3.8 \pm 0.3	0.43	0.14-1.26	0.125
Calcium (mg/dL)			8.5 \pm 0.6			8.7 \pm 0.9	0.78	0.45-1.34	0.380
Phosphate (mg/dL)			5.4 \pm 1.6			5.1 \pm 1.4	1.10	0.82-1.47	0.491
Parathyroid hormone (pg/mL)			406.3 \pm 459.7			564.7 \pm 543.5	0.99	0.99-1.00	0.175
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)			15.1 \pm 11.4			13.1 \pm 6.9	1.02	0.97-1.07	0.337

SD: Standard deviation; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of low back pain

	Hemodialysis patients with low back pain (n=32)	
	n	%
Location		
Axial low back pain	9	28.1
Low back pain radiating to the legs	23	71.9
Duration		
Acute (<3 month)	11	34.4
Chronic (≥3 month)	21	65.6
Intensity		
Mild (VAS 0-4)	16	50.0
Moderate (VAS 5-7)	12	37.5
Severe (VAS 8-10)	4	12.5
Etiology		
Spondylodiscitis	2	6.2
Compression fracture	2	6.2
Spinal stenosis	1	3.1
Discopathy	4	12.5
Non-specific	23	71.9

VAS: Visual Analog Scale.

were males and 46 (52.9%) were females. The mean age was 53.3±15.8 (range, 21 to 80) years. The mean duration of hemodialysis was 7.0±6.3 years. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1.

A total of 32 patients (36.8%) had LBP at the time of the interview. There were nine patients (28.1%) with axial LBP and 23 patients (71.9%) with LBP radiating

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of risk factors of low back pain

	OR	95% CI	p
Age (year)	1.02	1.00-1.06	0.048
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	1.24	1.01-1.41	0.037
Smoking	7.11	1.60-6.08	0.020

OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

to the leg. Severity of LBP was mild in 16 patients (50.0%), moderate in 12 patients (37.5%), and severe in four patients (12.5%). Eleven patients (34.4%) had acute LBP and 21 patients (65.6%) had chronic LBP. The etiology of low back pain was non-specific in the majority of the patients (71.9%). There were spondylodiscitis in two patients (6.2%), compression fractures in two patients (6.2%), spinal stenosis in one patient (3.1%), and discopathy in four patients (12.5%). Clinical characteristics of LBP are shown in Table 2.

According to the univariate binary logistic regression analysis in patients with and without LBP as shown in Table 1, advanced age and increased BMI were significantly related to an increased likelihood of LBP (p=0.041; p=0.035, respectively). Age, BMI, and smoking which were identified as the risk factors of LBP in the univariate regression were included in the multivariate analysis. The ORs and 95% CIs for each variable are presented in Table 3. Advanced age, increased BMI, and smoking were found to be significant independent risk factors of LBP in

Table 4. Comparison of Nottingham Health Profile subscale scores* between hemodialysis patients with and without low back pain

	Hemodialysis patients with low back pain (n=32)	Hemodialysis patients without low back pain (n=55)	p
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Energy	84.3±29.3	58.7±47.1	0.002
Pain	49.9±36.8	15.6±26.1	<0.001
Emotional reaction	36.0±30.1	35.1±30.8	0.888
Sleep	50.0±41.2	40.3±42.2	0.304
Social isolation	19.9±30.0	17.4±30.0	0.713
Physical mobility	49.2±37.0	19.3±29.4	<0.001

SD: Standard deviation.

Table 5. Correlation between Oswestry Disability Index and Nottingham Health Profile subscale scores

	Oswestry Disability Index	
	r	p
Energy	0.424	0.016
Pain	0.803	<0.001
Emotional reaction	0.258	0.154
Sleep	0.493	0.004
Social isolation	0.056	0.760
Physical mobility	0.862	<0.001

hemodialysis patients ($p=0.048$; $p=0.037$; $p=0.020$, respectively).

Energy, pain, and physical mobility subscale scores of the NHP were higher in the hemodialysis patients with LBP than those without LBP ($p=0.008$; $p<0.001$; $p<0.001$, respectively) (Table 4). In addition, energy, pain, sleep, and physical mobility subscale scores of the NHP showed a significant positive correlation with the ODI scores ($r=0.424$, $p=0.016$; $r=0.803$, $p<0.001$; $r=0.493$, $p=0.004$; $r=0.862$, $p<0.001$, respectively) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated the frequency and characteristics of LBP and identified possible risk factors of LBP and its impact on HRQOL in hemodialysis patients. Our study results showed that advanced age, increased BMI, and smoking were the main risk factors of LBP in hemodialysis patients. In addition, we found that hemodialysis patients with LBP had worse HRQOL scores, compared to those without LBP. Finally, we found a significant correlation between LBP-associated disability and HRQOL domains.

In the literature, there is a limited number of studies on LBP in hemodialysis patients. In the study of Cristofolini et al.^[5] LBP was present in 36% of the patients and was associated with muscle weakness, balance disorders, and comorbidities. Similarly, in our study, 36.8% of the hemodialysis patients had LBP. In the general population, estimates of the point prevalence of LBP are about 18.1%; therefore, we can conclude that LBP is seen more frequently in hemodialysis patients, compared to the general population.

A total of 5 to 15% of LBP can be explained by specific causes, such as infection, tumor, or osteoporotic fractures in the general population.^[4] However, in 85 to 95% of the LBP cases, the etiology is unclear.^[4,13,14] In the present study, spondylodiscitis, compression fractures, spinal stenosis, and discopathy were the main causes of LBP in 30% of our study population. However, non-specific LBP was the most frequent condition. Two patients with spondylodiscitis were detected in this study. Advanced age hemodialysis patients may be rarely prone to spondylodiscitis as a result of bacteremia.^[15] Spondylodiscitis is important due to related morbidity and mortality; therefore early diagnosis and effective therapy are life saving.^[16]

In the present study, LBP was found to be associated with advanced age, increased BMI, and smoking. Epidemiological studies investigating risk factors of LBP in the general population also showed that age and increased BMI were related to increased prevalence of LBP.^[17-19] In addition, a meta-analysis demonstrated that both current and former smokers had a higher prevalence and incidence of LBP than never smokers.^[20] Nonetheless, risk factors of LBP in hemodialysis patients seem similar to those in the general population.

Furthermore, previous studies showed that the HRQOL scores were worse in hemodialysis patients.^[21-23] Body pain was found one of the most important qualitative parameters for the evaluation of the HRQOL in hemodialysis patients.^[24] To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to specifically investigate the impact of LBP on the HRQOL in hemodialysis patients. In our study, we also found that the hemodialysis patients with LBP had significantly worse scores in the NHP subscales of energy, pain, and physical activity compared to those without LBP. Low back pain may have more adverse consequences in hemodialysis patients. Further studies may address into the additional cost of care for hemodialysis patients with LBP.

The major limitation of the study is the lack of a prospective, controlled study design. The cross-sectional design did not allow the causality of the associations to be examined. In addition, the HRQOL was measured using the NHP. As a generic measure, the NHP may not be adequately sensitive as disease-specific tools to identify the differences between hemodialysis groups.

In conclusion, our study results showed that LBP was a frequent condition in hemodialysis patients. Risk factors of LBP were similar to the general population, and LBP was associated with poor HRQOL scores in hemodialysis patients. Based on these findings, with increasing number of hemodialysis survivors, the efforts should aim to improve the HRQOL in hemodialysis patients with LBP.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Gamondi C, Galli N, Schönholzer C, Marone C, Zwahlen H, Gabutti L, et al. Frequency and severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2013;143:w13750.
2. Santoro D, Satta E, Messina S, Costantino G, Savica V, Bellinghieri G. Pain in end-stage renal disease: a frequent and neglected clinical problem. *Clin Nephrol* 2013;79:2-11.
3. Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, Buchbinder R. The Epidemiology of low back pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2010;24:769-81.
4. Deyo RA, Weinstein JN. Low back pain. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:363-70.
5. Cristofolini T, Draibe S, Sesso R. Evaluation of factors associated with chronic low back pain in hemodialysis patients. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2008;108:249-55.
6. Hunt SM, McKenna SP, McEwen J, Williams J, Papp E. The Nottingham Health Profile: subjective health status and medical consultations. *Soc Sci Med A* 1981;15:221-9.
7. Kükükdereci AA, McKenna SP, Kutlay S, Gürsel Y, Whalley D, Arasil T. The development and psychometric assessment of the Turkish version of the Nottingham Health Profile. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2000;23:31-8.
8. Hisli N, Beck Depresyon Envanteri'nin geçerliliği üzerine bir çalışma. *Psikoloji Dergisi* 1988;6:118-26.
9. Hisli N. Beck Depresyon Envanteri'nin üniversite öğrencileri için geçerliliği, güvenilirliği. *Psikoloji Dergisi* 1989;7:3-13.
10. Dionne CE, Dunn KM, Croft PR, Nachemson AL, Buchbinder R, Walker BF, et al. A consensus approach toward the standardization of back pain definitions for use in prevalence studies. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008;33:95-103.
11. Collins SL, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? *Pain* 1997;72:95-7.
12. Yakut E, Düger T, Oksüz C, Yörükan S, Ureten K, Turan D, et al. Validation of the Turkish version of the Oswestry Disability Index for patients with low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2004;29:581-5.
13. Ehrlich GE. Low back pain. *Bull World Health Organ* 2003;81:671-6.
14. Hollingworth W, Todd CJ, King H, Males T, Dixon AK, Karia KR, et al. Primary care referrals for lumbar spine radiography: diagnostic yield and clinical guidelines. *Br J Gen Pract* 2002;52:475-80.
15. Cervan AM, Colmenero Jde D, Del Arco A, Villanueva F, Guerado E. Spondylodiscitis in patients under haemodialysis. *Int Orthop* 2012;36:421-6.
16. Kaya S, Ercan S, Kaya S, Aktas U, Kamasak K, Ozalp H, et al. Spondylodiscitis: evaluation of patients in a tertiary hospital. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2014;8:1272-6.
17. Webb R, Brammah T, Lunt M, Urwin M, Allison T, Symmons D. Prevalence and predictors of intense, chronic, and disabling neck and back pain in the UK general population. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2003;28:1195-202.
18. Kopec JA, Sayre EC, Esdaile JM. Predictors of back pain in a general population cohort. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2004;29:70-7.
19. Waxman R, Tennant A, Helliwell P. A prospective follow-up study of low back pain in the community. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;25:2085-90.
20. Shiri R, Karppinen J, Leino-Arjas P, Solovieva S, Viikari-Juntura E. The association between smoking and low back pain: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2010;123:87. e7-35.
21. Diaz-Buxo JA, Lowrie EG, Lew NL, Zhang H, Lazarus JM. Quality-of-life evaluation using Short Form 36: comparison in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000;35:293-300.
22. Merkus MP, Jager KJ, Dekker FW, De Haan RJ, Boeschoten EW, Krediet RT. Quality of life over time in dialysis: the Netherlands Cooperative Study on the Adequacy of Dialysis. *NECOSAD Study Group. Kidney Int* 1999;56:720-8.
23. Zyga S, Alikari V, Sachlas A, Stathoulis J, Aroni A, Theofilou P, et al. Management of Pain and Quality of Life in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Undergoing Hemodialysis. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2015;16:712-20.
24. Hsu HJ, Yen CH, Hsu KH, Wu IW, Lee CC, Hung MJ, et al. Factors associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain in patients with chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrol* 2014;15:6.